

Table ES-2 Disposal (Army Action) Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Issue Area	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Land Use	Potential temporary land use conflicts between interim uses allowed by Army and necessary remediation activities	Limit properties that may be outgranted and restrict access to remediation areas during remediation activities	1993 NEPA ROD	Army
Socioeconomics	Temporary population growth and an accompanying demand for housing compared to Army ownership of property (positive effect)	No mitigation required	--	
	Temporary generation of new spending and employment compared to Army ownership of property (positive effect)	No mitigation required	--	
	Increase in the county property tax base compared to Army ownership of property (positive effect)	No mitigation required	--	
	Decreased demand for community services and job opportunity programs compared to Army ownership of property (positive effect)	No mitigation required	--	
	Short term potential minor negative impact on local land markets compared to Army ownership of property	No mitigation required	--	
Soils, Geology, Topography and Seismicity	None	--	--	
Public Services and Utilities	Potential lack of adequate access to infrastructure facilities	Provide easements for existing infrastructure and utilities	1993 NEPA ROD	Army
	Degradation of services because of reduced facilities maintenance	Conduct periodic maintenance	1993 NEPA ROD	Army
Water Resources	None	--	--	
Public Health and Safety	Slight increase in demand for law enforcement, fire protection, and medical services	No mitigation required		
	Short term seismic safety risk for interim-leased structures (effect considered minor)	No mitigation required		
Traffic and Circulation	Interruption of access between the motor pool area and the POM Annex area	Disposal will provide for continued access	Final SEIS (pg. 5-6) (proposed for adoption in this Final SEIS)	Army

Table ES-2. Continued

Issue Area	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Air Quality	Exposure of the public to asbestos during building demolition or after transfer of buildings to third parties.	Disclosure of the locations and quantities of buildings with asbestos-containing material when transferred.	Final SEIS (pg. 5-7) (proposed for adoption in this Final SEIS)	Army
Noise	Potential for short-term excessive noise from remedial action activities (short term and considered minor)	No mitigation required.		
Hazardous and Toxic Waste Site Remedial Action	Potential risks to public health and safety associated with hazardous materials	Continue state-mandated and federally mandated cleanup process and remedial actions; cleanup of wastes is part of the project.	Final SEIS (pg. 5-8)	Army
Ordnance and Explosives	Potential risks to public health and safety associated with unexploded ordnance and explosives	Continue OE investigations and removal actions; preparation of engineering evaluations; community education plan; and site maintenance and emergency response plan; and inform recipients of land of the potential for OE.	Final SEIS (pg. 5-8)	Army
Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetland Resources	Loss of federal protection for Monterey spineflower.	Develop and coordinate an installation-wide multi-species habitat management plan.	1993 FEIS (Volume I) (pages ES-9 and 6-109)	Army
Visual Resources	None	Implement the HMP, including HMP protective covenants in deed transfers.	Final SEIS (pg. 4-29)	Army
Cultural Resources	None		1993 NEPA ROD	Army
Coastal Resources	None			
Cumulative Impacts	Incremental increases in new properties on the market; structures available for interim lease that do not meet seismic safety construction standards; number of near-term remediation sites; acres of Monterey spineflower that would lose federal protection.	No mitigation required beyond that described above.		

ES-8

Notes: --- = Not applicable

Table ES-3. Summary of Reuse Impacts and Mitigation Measures (secondary actions by others (non-Army))s

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7				
Land Use	Potential incompatibility of coastal zone development in parcels 13 and 14a with natural habitat resources	None required; Army disposing of coastal property to State Parks, consistent with HMP	Final SEIS, pg. 2-4	Army
	Potential incompatibility of coastal zone development in parcels 13 and 14a with the Disturbed Habitat Zone	None required; Army disposing of coastal property to State Parks, consistent with HMP	Final SEIS, pg. 2-4	Army
	Potential incompatibility of Natural Area Expansion with Office Park and Resort Hotel in Parcels 31b and 29a	Implement HMP-prescribed greenbelt as firebreak around office complex	Final SEIS, pg. 5-14	FORA
	Potential incompatibility of Natural Area Expansion with Golf Course in Parcel 29a	Include HMP protective covenants in deed transfers	Final SEIS, pg. 4-29	Army
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE	Maintain 200-foot-wide open space buffer within golf course parcel	Final SEIS, pg. 5-14	FORA
	Deviations from current local plans and policies on development and growth likely to affect the character of the Monterey Peninsula	Include HMP protective covenants in deed transfers	Final SEIS, pg. 4-29	Army
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE	Concentrate live-firing proposals in a single location	Final SEIS, pg. 5-15	Monterey Peninsula College
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE	Maintain 300-foot-wide open space buffer between public safety training area and RV park /campground	Final SEIS, pg. 5-15	FORA
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE	Isolate driver training activities from RV park/campground and NRMA	Final SEIS, pg. 5-15	Monterey Peninsula College
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE	Include HMP protective covenants in deed transfers	Final SEIS, pg. 4-29	Army

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Land Use (continued)	Conflicts between planned roadways and proposed land uses and between land uses	Implement location and design changes to roadways during development of FORA base reuse plan and EIR	Final SEIS, pg. 5-46	FORA
Socioeconomics	Increase of approximately 41,500 residents (environmentally neutral effect)	No mitigation required		
	Development of approximately 13,800 housing units (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately 58,500 jobs (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately \$7.0 billion in industrial output (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately \$2.8 billion in personal income (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Net increase in Monterey County's population (environmentally neutral effect)	No mitigation required		
	Increased imbalance of jobs to housing ratio	Develop additional housing elsewhere in the county as employment generating uses are approved; or	Final SEIS, pg. 5-49	Cities, Monterey County
	Economic growth in the Monterey Peninsula region (positive effect)	No mitigation required	Final SEIS, pg. 5-49	FORA
	Decreased demand for community services compared to the ROD, such as welfare payments and crisis intervention programs (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
Soils, Geology, Topography, and Seismicity	Loss of facilities to coastal erosion	Observe setback requirements to accommodate coastal erosion rates; reuse in coastal zone evaluated in State Parks general plan	Final SEIS, pg. 5-17	State Parks

Table ES-3 Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Soils, Geology, Topography, and Seismicity (continued)	Severe engineering limitations because of sandy, unaggregated soils	Implement appropriate engineering techniques during design and construction; meet appropriate building codes	Final SEIS, pg. 5-18; 1993 FEIS, pg. 6-31	Cities, Monterey County
	Existing and new structures susceptible to damage from ground shaking	Construct new or modify existing structures to meet building codes	Final SEIS, pg. 5-18; 1993 FEIS, pg. 6-31	Cities, Monterey County
	Disturbance or loss of existing soil resources through excavation, grading, paving, landscaping	Minimize ground disturbance in areas with highly erosive soils and revegetate disturbed areas	Final SEIS, pg. 5-50; 1993 FEIS, Volume 1, pp. 6-28 and 6-29	Cities, Monterey County
	Increased hazard of wind and water erosion and landslide susceptibility	Minimize ground disturbance in areas with highly erosive soils and revegetate disturbed areas; use runoff control structures	Final SEIS, pg. 5-50; 1993 FEIS, Volume 1, pp. 6-28 to 6-30	FORA, Cities, Monterey County
Public Services and Utilities	Suppression of low-temperature natural wildfires, resulting in a buildup of fuel and eventual high-temperature wildfire	Maintain a fire management program with periodic controlled burns	Final SEIS, pg. 5-50; 1993 FEIS, Volume 1, pg. 6-28	BLM
	Increased demand for services and utilities (wastewater treatment, solid waste, telephone, gas, electric, storm drainage, cable TV, water distribution, recreation sites, schools)	Develop infrastructure master plans and approve local development contingent on availability of services and utilities	Final SEIS, pp. 5-51 to 5-52	FORA, Cities, and Monterey County
Water Resources--Water Supply and Demand	Increased demand for water	Cooperate with MCWRA plans and/or develop private plans for additional water supplies; phase development based on water supply availability	Final SEIS, pp. 5-20 and 5-54	FORA
	Potential increases in groundwater recharge (positive effect)	No Mitigation Required		
Water Resources--Hydrology and Water Quality	Increases in site runoff	Construct onsite detention and drainage facilities and possible expansion of storm drain infrastructure	Final SEIS, pg. 5-21; 1993 FEIS, pg. 6-53	FORA

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Water Resources-- Hydrology and Water Quality (continued)	Risk of flood damage from development in 100-year floodplain	Expand storm drain infrastructure for increasing 100-year flood elevations and exclude development within 100-year floodplain	Final SEIS, pg. 5-21; 1993 FEIS, pg. 6-53	FORA
	Water quality degradation from urban development, construction-related erosion, and hazardous material spills	Construct onsite drainage facilities and obtain necessary stormwater discharge permits	Final SEIS, pp. 5-21 and 5-53; 1993 FEIS, pg. 6-54	FORA
Public Health and Safety				
	Increased demand for law enforcement officers by local jurisdictions	Prepare and implement a law enforcement master plan to ensure adequate staff and equipment; approve development in unincorporated areas contingent on availability of law enforcement service	Final SEIS, pg. 5-54	FORA, Cities, Monterey County
ES-12				
	Increased demand for firefighters	Prepare and implement a fire protection master plan to ensure adequate staff and equipment	Final SEIS, pg. 5-55	State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Cities, Monterey County
	Increased demand for medical and emergency medical services	Expand medical facilities in the county	Final SEIS, pg. 5-55	Others
Traffic and Circulation				
	Increased travel on former Fort Ord and travel between former Fort Ord and surrounding communities	Update general plan circulation elements to address increased traffic	Final SEIS, pg. 5-61	Cities, Monterey County
		Review traffic effects of plan and develop demand management strategies as needed to minimize local congestion	Final SEIS, pg. 5-61	FORA
		Provide additional lanes of access to maintain acceptable level of service	1993 FEIS, pg. 6-72	FORA
Air Quality				
	Uncontrolled PM10 construction emissions of 56 ppd (below significance thresholds)	Implement dust-reducing measures during construction to limit PM 10 emissions	Final SEIS, pg. 5-62; 1993 EIS (Vol. 1; pg. 6-75)	All reuse entities
	Increase in CO concentrations from areawide vehicle trips compared to the 7th IDL presence, but below significance thresholds	No mitigation required		

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Noise	<p>Increase in noise along roadway segments affecting noise-sensitive land uses (primarily residential)</p> <p>Potential exposure of the RV park/campground, residential land uses, and the NRMMA to noise from the public safety and peace officer training areas</p>	<p>Provide sound barriers along roads, provide acoustic treatment to noise sensitive buildings, relocate noise sensitive land uses</p> <p>Employ design and construction methods to reduce sound transmission.</p>	<p>Final SEIS, pg. 5-29</p> <p>Final SEIS, pg. 5-29</p>	<p>FORA</p> <p>Monterey County</p>
		Restrict hours of operation of training facilities	Final SEIS, pg. 5-29	Monterey Peninsula College
		Relocate noisier portions of training areas away from sensitive areas (see summary under Land Use)	Final SEIS, pg. 5-29	Monterey Peninsula College
Hazardous Materials and Toxic Waste	<p>Exposure to hazardous materials including asbestos and lead-based paints</p> <p>Hazardous and toxic waste sites and associated plumes of VOC may affect groundwater</p> <p>Planned road segments cross hazardous and toxic waste remediation sites</p>	<p>Continue state-mandated and federally mandated cleanup process; cleanup of wastes is part of the project</p> <p>Cleanup underway</p> <p>Realign road segments that conflict with cleanup plans (as proposed in Revised Alternative 7)</p>	<p>Final SEIS, pp. 5-8 and 5-29</p> <p>Final SEIS, pp. 5-8 and 5-29</p> <p>Final SEIS, pg. 5-66</p>	<p>Army</p> <p>Army</p> <p>FORA</p>
Ordnance and Explosives	<p>Exposure to unexploded ordnance and explosives</p> <p>Risk to construction workers at planned development sites bordering the inland range</p>	<p>Mitigation measures being implemented during the Army caretaker and disposal processes, such as engineering evaluations, community education, and emergency response plans</p> <p>Mitigation measures being implemented during the Army caretaker and disposal processes, such as engineering evaluations, community education, and emergency response plans</p> <p>Disseminate Army-produced community education material</p>	<p>Final SEIS, pp. 5-8 and 5-30</p> <p>Final SEIS, pp. 5-8 and 5-30</p> <p>Final SEIS, pg. 5-30</p>	<p>Army</p> <p>Army</p> <p>FORA</p>

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Vegetation Resources-- Common and Special Native Biological Communities	Loss or Degradation of Common and Special Native Biological Communities due to development	Implement the Draft Revised HMP	1993 EIS (Vol. 1, pp. 6-105 and 6-106), Final SEIS, Appendix D	all reuse entities
	Loss of populations and habitat of special status plant and wildlife due to development	Implement the Draft Revised HMP	1993 EIS (Vol. 1, pp. 6-105 and 6-106), Final SEIS, Appendix D	all reuse entities
	Losses of biological resources beyond those described in the original February 1994 HMP due to the proposed road network	Implement mitigation measures agreed to by the Army, UC, USFWS, and FORA on March 15 and 28, 1996 and the Draft Revised HMP	Final SEIS, Appendix D	all reuse entities
	Overall losses of biological resources beyond those described in the original February 1994 HMP	Implement mitigation measures agreed to by the Army, UC, USFWS, and FORA on March 15 and 28, 1996 and the Draft Revised HMP	Final SEIS, Appendix D	FORA and all reuse entities
		Revise HMP to incorporate mitigation measures agreed to by the Army, UC, USFWS, and FORA on March 15 and 28, 1996	Final SEIS, Appendix D	Army
		Include HMP protective covenants in deed transfers based on the Draft Revised HMP requirements	Final SEIS, pg. 4-29	Army
Visual Resources	Reduced visual unity and intactness for some visually sensitive areas resulting from short- and long-term construction impacts	Consider adaptive-reuse of Stillwell Hall	1993 FEIS, pg. 6-132; Final SEIS, pg. 5-42	California Department of Parks and Recreation
		Maintain and enhance natural landform screening immediately east of SR1		FORA/Caltrans
	Reduced visual quality of areas seen from SR 1	Develop a mechanism to ensure the consistent application of visual resource management standards at former Fort Ord	1993 FEIS, pg. 6-133; Final SEIS, pg. 5-44	FORA/Caltrans
	Alterations of views of former Fort Ord from SR1 and 68 and the Salinas Valley	No mitigation required		

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Alternative 7 (continued)				
Visual Resources (continued)	Contribution to regional urbanization of the Greater Monterey Bay region.	No mitigation required		
Cultural Resources	Potential to adversely affect the visual character of National Register of Historic Places-eligible properties (Stilwell Hall, East Garrison)	Include protective covenants in deed transfers	Final SEIS, pg. 5-76	Army
		Redefine transportation system proposals that affect historic resources	Final SEIS, pg. 5-76	FORA
Coastal Resources	Potential inconsistency with California Coastal Act (e.g., impacts on traffic, noise, air and water quality, biological resources)	Transfer coastal property to State Parks consistent with HMP and coastal consistency determination for earlier Army disposal action	Final SEIS, pg. 2-4	Army, State Parks
	Potential to alter landforms and remove habitat areas	Place limitations on development on former Fort Ord and prepare a traffic study to determine the proper balance of transportation supply and demand	Final SEIS, pg. 5-76	FORA
		Adopt land use measures to reduce the number of vehicle trips if supply and demand are not in balance	Final SEIS, pg. 5-76	FORA
	Potential inconsistency with State Parks General Plan	Coordinate future use of the coastal zone through State Parks master planning process and State Parks CZMA consistency determination	Final SEIS, pg. 5-45	FORA, State Parks
	Potential increase in urban pollutant loading of Monterey Bay sanctuary	Comply with NPDES permit and Sanctuary Management Plan as modified	Final SEIS, pg. 5-45	FORA, Cities, Monterey County
Revised Alternative 7				
Land Use	Potential incompatibility of Natural Area Expansion with Office Park and Resort Hotel in Parcels 31b and 29a	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Potential incompatibility of Natural Area Expansion with Golf Course in Parcel 29a	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (Issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Revised Alternative 7 (continued)				
Land Use (continued)	Public safety, noise, and light and glare risks associated with adjacent and conflicting land uses. (Public Safety Training Center and other public uses in parcels 11b, 17b and 25)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Potential land use conflict from trespassing into impact areas with UXO and other OE mitigation	See Ordnance and Explosives, Section 5.2.12 for mitigation		
	Deviations from current local plans and policies on development and growth likely to affect the character of the Monterey Peninsula	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Conflicts between planned roadways and proposed land uses and between land uses	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Socioeconomics	Increase of approximately 45,000 residents (environmentally neutral effect)	No mitigation required		
	Development of approximately 15,000 housing units (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately 38,800 jobs (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately \$2.3 billion in industrial output (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Generation of approximately \$1.0 billion in personal income (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
	Net increase in Monterey County's population (environmentally neutral effect)	No mitigation required		
	Increased imbalance of jobs to housing ratio	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Economic growth in the Monterey Peninsula region (positive effect)	No mitigation required		

Table ES-3 Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Revised Alternative 7 (continued)				
Socioeconomics (continued)	Decreased demand for community services compared to the ROD, such as welfare payments and crisis intervention programs (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
Soils, Geology, Topography, and Seismicity	Severe engineering limitations because of sandy, unaggregated soils	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Existing and new structures susceptible to damage from ground shaking	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Disturbance or loss of existing soil resources through excavation, grading, paving, landscaping	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Increased hazard of wind and water erosion and landslide susceptibility	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Suppression of low-temperature natural wildfires, resulting in a buildup of fuel and eventual high-temperature wildfire	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Public Services and Utilities	Increased demand for services and utilities (wastewater treatment, solid waste, telephone, gas, electric, storm drainage, cable TV, water distribution, recreation sites, schools) (slightly less than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Water Resources--Water Supply and Demand	Increased demand for water (slightly greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Potential increases in groundwater recharge (positive effect)	No mitigation required		
Water Resources-- Hydrology and Water Quality	Increases in site runoff	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Revised Alternative 7 (continued)				
Water Resources-- Hydrology and Water Quality (continued)	Risk of flood damage from development in 100-year floodplain	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Water quality degradation from urban development, construction-related erosion, and hazardous material spills	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Public Health and Safety	Increased demand for law enforcement officers by local jurisdictions (greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Increased demand for firefighters (greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Increased demand for medical and emergency medical services (greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Traffic and Circulation	Increased travel on former Fort Ord and travel between former Fort Ord and surrounding communities (less than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Uncontrolled PM10 construction emissions of 59 ppd (below significance thresholds)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Air Quality	Increase in CO concentrations from areawide vehicle trips compared to the 7th-IDL presence, but below significance thresholds	No mitigation required		
	Increase in noise along roadway segments, affecting noise-sensitive land uses (primarily residential) (slightly less than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Noise	Potential exposure of the RV park/campground, residential land uses, and the NRMA to noise from the public safety and peace officer training areas	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Hazardous Materials and Toxic Waste	Exposure to hazardous materials including asbestos and lead-based paints	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (Issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Revised Alternative 7 (continued)				
Hazardous Materials and Toxic Waste (continued)	Potential for interference with remedial actions at landfill site	Limit development to that which is consistent with landfill ROD and landfill cap design	Final SEIS, pg 5-91	Army and UC or FORA
Ordnance and Explosives	Exposure to unexploded ordnance and explosives (slightly greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Risk to construction workers at planned development sites bordering the inland range (slightly greater than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Vegetation Resources-- Common and Special Native Biological Communities	Loss or Degradation of Common and Special Native Biological Communities due to development	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Loss of populations and habitat of special-status plant and wildlife due to development	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Losses of biological resources beyond those described in the original February 1994 HMP due to the proposed road network	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Overall losses of biological resources beyond those described in the original February 1994 HMP	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Visual Resources	Reduced visual unity and intactness for some visually sensitive areas resulting from short- and long-term construction impacts (slightly less than Alternative 7)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
	Potential for reduced visual quality of areas seen from SR 1	Incorporate height restrictions into property transfer agreement for golf courses parcel	Final SEIS, pg. 5-124	Army
	Alterations of views of former Fort Ord from SR1 and 68 and the Salinas Valley	No mitigation required		
	Contribution to regional urbanization of the Greater Monterey Bay region.	No mitigation required		

Table ES-3. Continued

Action (Issue area)	Impact	Mitigation Measure	Location Where Mitigation Described	Implementation Responsibility
Revised Alternative 7 (continued)				
Cultural Resources	Potential to adversely affect the visual character of National Register of Historic Places - eligible property (East Garrison)	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Coastal Resources	Potential increase in urban pollutant loading of Monterey Bay sanctuary	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7	Same as Alternative 7
Impacts Unique to Alternative 8*				
	Increased contaminants in urban runoff from golf courses	Use onsite control or treatment of storm runoff at golf courses	Final SEIS, pg. 5-127	FORA
	Conversion of an additional 70-80 acres of area identified as habitat reserve to some other use	Implement mitigation measures agreed to by the Army, USFWS, UC, and FORA on March 15 and 28, 1996, and the Draft Revised HMP	Final SEIS, Appendix D	Army and FORA
	Potential degradation of habitat in areas adjacent to the landfill golf course	Implement mitigation measures agreed to by the Army, USFWS, UC, and FORA on March 15 and 28, 1996, and the Draft Revised HMP	Final SEIS, Appendix D	Army and FORA
	Golf course uses incompatible with current remediation plans at former landfill site	Retain groundwater hydrologist to investigate effects of increased recharge	Final SEIS, pg. 5-129	FORA, City of Marina
		Provide funding and modify landfill caps to protect public health and safety	Final SEIS, pg. 5-129	FORA, City of Marina

* The base-wide environmental impacts of Alternative 8 are the same as those described for Alternative 7 in this table except for the unique impacts described above. Minor variations in the numbers associated with socioeconomic and air quality impacts are identified in the text of Section 5.0. Mitigation measures described for Alternative 7 also apply to Alternative 8.